The NEA Active membership counts for local affiliates of merged state affiliates are proportionately adjusted to reflect the reduction in Association dues paid by Active members of the merged affiliate. The total number of members based on membership received as of January 15 multiplied by the state’s allocation percentage equals the adjusted membership count.

\[(\text{NEA Active Membership Count}) \times (\text{Allocation Percentage}) = \text{Adjusted Count}\]

The allocation percentages for merged affiliates are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Allocation Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>53.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>68.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>70.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>8.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>81.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delegates are allocated in the ratio of one delegate for each 150 NEA Active members or major fraction thereof. A merged local affiliate with an adjusted membership count of more than 75, but fewer than 226, members will be entitled to one delegate, and a merged local affiliate with more than 225, but fewer than 376, members will be entitled to two delegates, and so on. A merged local affiliate with an adjusted membership count of fewer than 76 NEA Active members is eligible to cluster with other similar local affiliates to form membership units for the purpose of delegate representation. Using New York’s allocation percentage as an example, the following demonstrate how revised figures for merged affiliates are calculated:

**Example A**
New York Local Affiliate A is comprised of 2,800 Active members.

\[2,800 \times 0.0838 = 235\]

Local Affiliate A will be entitled to two delegates.

**Example B**
New York Local Affiliate B is comprised of 1,800 Active members.

\[1,800 \times 0.0838 = 151\]

Local Affiliate B will be entitled to one delegate.

**Example C**
New York Local Affiliate C is comprised of 800 Active members.

\[800 \times 0.0838 = 67\]

Local Affiliate C is not entitled to a delegate, but may cluster with other similar locals in the state for purposes of delegate representation.
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ALLOCATION AND ELECTION OF DELEGATES TO THE NEA REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY

SECTION I. ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES

C. Dual-National Affiliate Delegate Allocations

This section concerns the allocation of delegate credentials to dual-national (i.e., merged) affiliates.

In accordance with Article III, Section 2.c of the NEA Constitution, the ratios for the allocation of delegate credentials to state and local affiliates shall be proportionately adjusted for (1) certain non-dual-national state affiliates in states that have dual-national local affiliates (2) dual-national state affiliates, and (3) certain dual-national local affiliates. This adjustment reflects the reduction in Association dues paid by Active members of such affiliates pursuant to NEA Bylaw 2-7(m).

Article III, Section 2.c does not require any adjustment in the ratios for the allocation of delegate credentials to reflect the reduction in Association dues paid by Active members of a dual-national local affiliate (1) in a state that does not have a dual-national state affiliate, and (2) that became affiliated with the Association as a dual-national local affiliate before September 1, 1999.

The computation of proportionately adjusted delegate credential allocations to dual-national affiliates begins with an adjusted NEA Active membership figure that reflects the number of NEA Active members within such affiliates that pay reduced dues to the association. The adjusted NEA Active membership figure is then increased to provide full representation for NEA Active Life members. The result is the Active membership figure upon which delegate credential allocations to dual-national affiliates are based.

Illustrative Examples

1. State Affiliate A is a dual-national state affiliate. The state delegate credential allocation to State Affiliate A, and the local delegate credential allocations to all dual-national local affiliates in that state, will be proportionately adjusted—regardless of when State Affiliate A became a dual-national state affiliate of the Association, and regardless of when the local affiliates became dual-national local affiliates of the Association.

2. State Affiliate B is not a dual-national state affiliate, but there are two dual-national local affiliates in that state. One of these local affiliates became affiliated with the Association as a dual-national local affiliate before September 1, 1999. The state delegate credential allocation to State Affiliate B, and the local delegate credential allocation to that dual-national local affiliate, will not be proportionately adjusted, although members of the local affiliate are paying reduced Association dues. The other dual-national local affiliate in that state became affiliated with the Association as a dual-national local affiliate on September 1, 1999. The state delegate credential allocation to State B, and the local delegate credential allocation to that dual-national local affiliate, will be proportionately adjusted to reflect that members of the local affiliate are paying reduced Association dues.

For more information contact Sabrina Tines, Senior Director, NEA Center for Governance, at satines@nea.org or (202) 822-7906; or Paul Birkmeier, Policy Specialist, NEA Center for Governance, at pbirkmeier@nea.org or (202) 822-7008.