National Education Association Policy Statements 2021-2022

2022 NEA Representative Assembly

A Policy Statement shall set forth NEA's position with regard to a particular subject, and may include expressions of opinion, intent, or belief; may call for actions that are specific in nature and terminal in ap-plication; and may indicate support for or opposition to federal legislation.

An adopted Policy Statement shall continue in force unless and until further action is taken with regard to that Policy Statement by a subsequent Representative Assembly.

The statements are arranged chronologically by year of initial adoption, except for the proposed Policy Statement on Safe, Just and Equitable Schools, which is presented first in this document. Dates for the first year adopted and last year amended are shown following the statement title. If only one year is shown, the statement has not been revised by the Representative Assembly.

Contents

A.	*Proposed Policy Statement on Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools	. 4
B.	Affirmative Action Policy for Ethnic Minorities and Women	9
C.	Privatization and Subcontracting Programs	11
D.	Kindergarten and Prekindergarten	15
E.	Teacher Evaluation and Accountability	19
F.	Digital Learning	23
G.	*Discipline and the School-To-Prison Pipeline	26
H.	Charter Schools	30
I.	Community Schools	33

*Proposed Policy Statement on Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools to replace existing Policy Statement on Discipline and the School-to-Prison Pipeline

In May 2022, the NEA Board of Directors voted to approve the submission of the proposed Policy Statement on Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools to the 2022 Representative Assembly for consideration and action. If adopted, this new Policy Statement will supersede the existing Policy Statement on Discipline and the Schoolto-Prison Pipeline.

A. Proposed NEA Policy Statement on Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools 1 2

To be considered and acted on by the 2022 NEA Representative Assembly

If adopted, this new policy statement will supersede the existing Policy Statement on Discipline and the School-to-Prison Pipeline

I. Our Vision for Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools 10

11

3

4 5

12 The National Education Association's vision for safe, just, and equitable schools is of thriving spaces that are safe and welcoming for all students, discriminatory toward none, integrate the social, emotional, physical, 13 14 and spiritual needs of the whole student, and equitably and fully-fund the community school model with 15 wraparound services and resources.

NEA's vision is the recruitment and retention of educators who reflect the community, with relevant 16 professional development and tools for cultural competence and responsiveness, prepared to center students' 17 18 needs and lived experiences, value all voices, and ensure voices that have been historically exploited, ignored, 19 or silenced are empowered and heard.

20 NEA's vision is to emphasize evidence-based behavioral practices centered in the philosophy of restora-21 tive justice over the criminalization and policing of students, and which dismantle and eliminate inequitable 22 policies, practices, and systems that deprive many of our students of their futures and disproportionately

23 harm Native, Asian, Black, Latin(o/a/x), Middle Eastern and North African, Pacific Islander, and Multiracial 24 students, including those who identify as LGBTQ+, have disabilities, and/or are English language learners.

25 NEA believes all educators—which includes every adult working in our schools—are indispensable both

26 for realizing our vision and for transforming our schools and the broader community so that we may end 27 inequitable policies, practices, and systems to avert a crisis of criminalization of our youth and instead prepare

28 every student to achieve their full potential and succeed in a diverse and interdependent world. 29 This Policy Statement sets forth principles to guide the beliefs, actions, advocacy, partnerships, and other

organization-wide efforts to achieve and sustain NEA's vision for safe, just, and equitable schools for every 30 31 student, educator, parent/guardian, and community. 32

33

II. Guiding Principles to Achieve Our Vision

34

35 NEA is committed to changing the policies and practices of the schools in which we work to ensure thri-36 ving spaces that are safe, just, and equitable. The Association is committed to beliefs, actions, advocacy, and 37 partnerships for the removal of impediments that are entirely incompatible with our vision, such as institutio-38 nal racism, white supremacy culture, inadequate and inequitable school funding, and the criminalization and 39 policing of students¹ in our schools—all of which perpetuate the school-to-prison and school-to-deportation 40 pipelines.²

41 The Association demands a transformative investment in the physical and mental health of all students³, 42 including Native students and Asian, Black, Latin(0/a/x), Middle Eastern and North African, Multiracial, 43 and Pacific Islander students, LGBTQ+ students, and students from all economic backgrounds and abilities. 44 Policymaking that produces a frayed network of public services in our communities is incompatible with

45

46 ¹ Criminalization and policing of students refer to practices and enforcement of school disciplinary policies that criminalize students' 47 behaviors, subjecting students to potential penalties imposed by law enforcement instead of consequences imposed by educators.

48

49 ² School-to-prison and school-to-deportation pipelines refer to policies and practices that directly and indirectly push Native, Asian,

50 Black, Latin(o/a/x), Middle Eastern and North African, Pacific Islander, and Multiracial students, including immigrant and undo-

51 cumented youth, out of school and on a pathway to prison and/or deportation including, but not limited to: harsh school discipline

52 policies that overuse suspension and expulsion, increased policing and surveillance that create prison-like environments in schools,

53 and overreliance by educators on referrals to law enforcement, the juvenile and criminal justice system, detention, and potentially

54 deportation proceedings.

- 1 our vision. When equitably and fully-funded, this network—which includes public schools, libraries, parks,
- 2 transportation, food security, access to health care and child care, affordable housing, and public service
- 3 infrastructure—energizes students, families, and their entire communities. The adoption of racial and social
- 4 equity principles at all levels of policymaking will encourage systemic solutions to these issues. Racial and
- 5 social justice in education and throughout the United States will be realized when we ensure fair treatment

6 resulting in equitable opportunities and outcomes for people of all races and backgrounds.

7 Our work to achieve our vision for safe, just, and equitable schools is guided by five principles:

8

9 Guiding Principle 1:

10 Adopting a Restorative Justice Philosophy to Create a Thriving School Climate

11 NEA's vision integrates the social, emotional, physical, and spiritual needs of the whole student,⁴ in which 12 students' identities and lived experiences are centered within a thriving and nurturing school climate. 13 Educators are critical to the development of evidence-based behavioral practices centered in a philo-14 sophy of restorative justice that promotes caring, trusting, and positive relationships among students and 15 adults. Without the development of such practices, high quality teaching and learning cannot occur. The 16 Association's vision for a restorative justice philosophy is comprised of practices and processes that proactively 17 build healthy relationships and a sense of community. Restorative practices to address conflict and wrong-18 doing, behavior, rule violations, and school climate can improve relationships between students, between 19 students and educators, and between educators whose behavior often serves as a role model for students. 20 They allow each member of the school community to develop and implement a school's adopted core values. 21 Restorative practices allow individuals who may have committed harm to take full responsibility for their 22 behavior by addressing the individual or individuals affected by the behavior. These practices represent a 23 collective mindset that can help guide youth and adult behavior and relationship management in schools. 24 Restorative justice practices and processes do not replace but rather complement existing initiatives and

evidence-based programs like Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) or social and emotional
learning models that assist in building a foundation and culture of caring.

27

28 Guiding Principle 2:

29 Relevant Professional Development for Culturally Competent Educators

NEA believes that educators—which includes every adult working in our schools—must be fully supported so they are better prepared to respond to the social and emotional needs of each student to ensure
development of the expertise and understanding of what it means to be culturally competent and responsive.⁵
A culturally competent pedagogy connects students' cultures, languages, and life experiences with the school
curriculum. Leveraging a student's knowledge and experiences from their families and communities helps
them to access and connect with the curriculum and develop their academic skills.

Support of students who suffer from childhood trauma requires whole school involvement and transformation. To achieve our vision, the Association and its affiliates must actively engage in developing the
means for schools and educators to address trauma and its implications for creating safe, just, and equitable
schools. Educators must be given ongoing opportunities to develop the expertise to work with students from
different racial, ethnic, and economic backgrounds, and to support those students who may be affected by
childhood trauma.

42 The Association must fully engage and authentically partner with stakeholders to develop and implement,43 with fidelity, training that is relevant, proven, substantial, and ongoing, and professional development tools

- 44 that are responsive to the needs of students and educators and are designed to build and increase educators'
- 45

46 ³ Identities and their usage here acknowledges the Report and Recommendations of the Racial Equity Language Review Stakeholder

47 *Group* adopted by the NEA Board of Directors in May 2020. Native People are named first, distinctly, recognized as the first

49 Latin(o/a/x) inclusive of Hispanic and Chican(o/a/x), Middle Eastern and North African, Multiracial, and Pacific Islander people.
 50

⁴⁸ people of this land with sovereign national and tribal status, and named together with Asian, Black inclusive of African American,

^{51 &}lt;sup>4</sup>The *whole student* refers to the Whole Child tenets that call for all available educational resources to maximize the achievement,

⁵² skills, opportunities, and potential of each student by building upon individual strengths and addressing individual needs. A Whole

⁵³ Child approach prepares students at all educational levels, including higher education, to thrive in a democratic and diverse society

⁵⁴ and changing world as knowledgeable, creative, engaged citizens, and lifelong learners.

- 1 cultural competence over the course of their careers. At a minimum, these programs must address:
- A. Development of communications skills including strategies for peer-to-peer, educator-to-parent, and
 educator-to-student communication.
- B. Development of cultural competence and responsiveness including awareness of one's own implicit
 biases⁶ and trauma, understanding culturally competent pedagogy, and becoming culturally responsive in one's approach to education and discipline/behavior.
- 7 C. Training developed for, and delivered to, pre-service, early career, and experienced educators.
- 8 D. Understanding of trauma and its effect on a student's education.
- **9** E. Knowledge and skills required to transform schools into trauma-informed environments.

11 Guiding Principle 3:

10

12 Eliminating Disparities in Disciplinary/Behavioral Practices

NEA is committed to ending harsh school discipline/behavioral policies that directly and indirectly contribute to a crisis of criminalization of our youth, and disproportionately harm Native students and students
of color. National research shows that these policies specifically have a disparate impact on Native, Black,
and Latin(o/a/x) students, including those who identify as LGBTQ+, have disabilities, and/or are English
language learners. Regionally, Asian, Middle Eastern and North African, Pacific Islander, and Multiracial
students experience harm and disparate outcomes as a result of such policies. NEA demands an end to school
disciplinary/behavioral policies and practices that overuse suspension and expulsion; employ zero-tolerance⁷

- 20 policies that criminalize minor infractions of school rules; increase police presence and surveillance on school
- campuses that create prison-like environments; and encourage school staff to impose exclusionary disciplineor refer students to law enforcement, juvenile justice authorities, and immigration services. Students who are
- or refer students to law enforcement, juvenile justice authorities, and immigration services. Students who aresuspended or expelled not only fall behind academically but are significantly more likely to drop out of school
- altogether, fail to secure a job, rely on social welfare programs, and end up in prison or face deportation.
- 25 The Association will advocate for schools, school districts, and states, in ensuring public accountability
- 26 to the communities they serve, to take appropriate steps to review their disciplinary/behavioral policies and
- 27 practices for any disparate impact on the basis of race, ethnicity, or other protected characteristics; to take
- 28 prompt and effective action to eliminate any disparate impact found; and to continue to monitor discipli-
- **29** nary/behavioral policies and practices to ensure that they are fair and nondiscriminatory.
- 30

31 Guiding Principle 4:

32 Eliminating the Criminalization and Policing of Students in Schools

NEA believes the criminalization and policing of students obstructs a thriving and nurturing school cli mate. Native, Asian, Black, Latin(o/a/x), Middle Eastern and North African, Pacific Islander, and Multiracial
 students, including those who identify as LGBTQ+, have disabilities, and/or are English language learners
 are in greater jeopardy in schools with a presence of police and law enforcement.⁸ Schools with police pre sence rely more heavily on exclusionary discipline, and exclusionary discipline falls disproportionately on
 Black students and other students of color.

Ending the policing of students on school campuses is essential to ensure thriving spaces for all stakeholders and to facilitate policies that dismantle inequalities and eliminate the criminalization of youth. The
Association strongly opposes the policing of students in all of its forms which perpetuate the school-to-prison

- **42** and school-to-deportation pipelines.
- 43 44
- ⁵ Cultural competence means the capacity to interact effectively and respectfully with people from different racial, ethnic, and/or
 economic backgrounds. Such competence includes understanding that different cultures have different communication codes and
 styles, being open to learning from others, to shift out of one's own cultural paradigm, and to refrain from judging people before
 honestly exploring what motivates their behavior.
- 49

51 cious manner.52

55 changing punishments to fit individual circumstances.

⁶ Implicit bias means the deep-seated attitudes or stereotypes that affect our understanding, actions, and decisions in an uncons-

^{53 &}lt;sup>7</sup> Zero-tolerance refers to school disciplinary/behavioral policies and practices that set predetermined consequences or punishments

⁵⁴ for specific offenses or rule infractions. Zero-tolerance policies forbid persons in positions of authority from exercising discretion or

- 1 NEA recognizes the significance of physical school facilities as a reflection of what educators want our
- 2 schools to be—welcoming, inclusive, and supportive environments for our students, parents/guardians, and3 communities.
- Gradient Communities.Therefore, the Association demands an end to:
- 5 6

7

- A. Participation in federal 1033 programs that deliver unnecessary weapons, vehicles, surveillance technology, and other equipment that unjustifiably militarize the police presence on school campuses.
- 8 campuses.
 9 B. Overreliance by educators on referrals to law enforcement which increase the likelihood of contact
 10 with the juvenile justice system.
 - C. Subjective and biased enforcement of disciplinary policies such as hair and dress codes.
- D. Construction of prison-like school environments that employ metal detectors, random searches, and other building and design elements that diminish a thriving and nurturing school climate.
- 14

11

15 Guiding Principle 5:

16 Student, Family, Organizational, and Community Engagement

17 NEA's vision is a safe, just, and equitable school in which all students' needs and lived experiences are 18 centered and voices that have been historically exploited, ignored, or silenced are empowered and heard. The 19 emotional, social, physical, and spiritual needs of the whole student must be strengthened and supported 20 through education, family partnerships, and relationship building. Students, parents/guardians, and other 21 caregivers must be engaged and trained in problem-solving techniques, conflict resolution skills, mental 22 health and wellness, and cultural competence. The development and implementation of a restorative justice 23 philosophy paired with restorative practices is essential for building healthy relationships and communities to 24 prevent and address conflict and trauma. Students must be invested in their own success and understand that 25 their actions and voices are critical in shaping and driving the decisions that affect their school communities

26 and help create inclusive, bias-free, and thriving school climates.

The Association must fully engage and authentically partner with a comprehensive range of stakeholders
that includes students, parents/guardians and family members, local and state affiliates, school boards, school
districts, peer mentoring groups, community-based organizations, alternative schools/juvenile correctional
institutions, mental health and wellness organizations, churches, alternative schools/juvenile correctional
institutions, law enforcement, professional associations and advocacy groups, and social justice stakeholders
to identify policies, practices, and activities to achieve a shared vision for safe, just, and equitable schools.

34 III. Implementing an Association-Wide Plan to Achieve Our Vision

35

36 NEA will utilize the Framework for Racial Justice in Education⁹ to achieve our vision for safe, just, and 37 equitable schools through the identification of strategies, activities, stakeholders, and internal and external 38 levers of change required to influence sustainable transformation and learnings across school systems. The 39 framework identifies three strategies: awareness, capacity building, and action encompassed within pre- and 40 post-qualitative and quantitative evaluations. The framework also provides direction to focus the identified 41 strategies, tactics, and activities while determining partnerships needed to leverage systems of change within 42 the Association and institutions.

43 NEA will utilize the Racial Equity Impact Assessment (REIA)¹⁰ to guide the development and imple44 mentation of Association-wide plan activities. The REIA is designed to ensure stakeholders are proactively
45 working to prevent bias and racial inequities from appearing in identified solutions.

- 46 The goals of NEA's plan are to:
- 47
- 48

51

53

 ^{*} *Police* or more specifically *law enforcement* refers to any sworn individual with the power to arrest, detain, interrogate, and issue
 citations.

⁵² ⁹ See Report of the NEA Task Force on Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools, Appendix C.

^{54 &}lt;sup>10</sup> See Report of the NEA Task Force on Safe, Just, and Equitable Schools, Appendix D.

1 2 3	A.	Identify and support opportunities to engage, activate, and mobilize members and leaders to orga- nize to achieve safe, just, and equitable schools for every student, educator, parent/guardian, and
3 4 5	B.	community. Develop an Association-wide understanding of the issues and impacts of the criminalization and
6 7		policing of students.
8		Develop and strengthen NEA's partnerships and coalitions with organizations, movements, and legislators to advocate and organize for safe, just, and equitable schools.
9 10	D.	Integrate and align the safe, just, and equitable schools vision and criteria across the NEA enterprise priorities and activities.
11		priorities and activities.
12 13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27 28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33 34		
35		
36 37		
38		
39 40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
52 53		
54		
55		

Prea	amble
not b equal	use the effects of ethnic and gender discrimination by particular employers and by society in general can- e remedied simply by ending discriminatory practices and utilizing employment practices that treat people ly regardless of ethnicity or gender, affirmative action may be necessary to achieve true equal employment tunity.*
Defi	nitions
г	
For p 1.	Purposes of this Policy Statement, the following definitions apply: The term "affirmative action" means any measure, beyond simply terminating and prohibiting disc-
	riminatory practices, that may be used to increase or maintain the percentage of ethnic minorities or women in an educational or other public employer's workforce, or a particular segment of an educati nal or other public employer's workforce.
2.	The term "discrimination" means denying an employment opportunity or benefit, or taking any
	adverse employment action, against ethnic minorities or women solely on the basis of their ethnicity
	gender.
3.	The term "diversity" means the inclusion of a specified percentage of ethnic minorities or women in
	educational or other public employer's workforce, in order to obtain the educational benefits of an et nically or sexually diverse workforce, to provide ethnic minority or female role models for all student
	or to alleviate the effects of societal discrimination.
4.	The term "education or other public employee" means a person employed in a professional or educat
-	support position by an educational employer or in any position by another public employer.
5.	The term "educational or other public employer" means a public school district, a college or university any other public entity which employs education employees, or any other public employer.
6.	The term "ethnic minority" means those persons designated as ethnic minority by statistics publis-
	hed by the United States Bureau of the Census. This designation shall specifically include American
	Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, Black, and Hispanic.
7.	The term "qualified" means that the person meets the legal requirements for holding the position, and
8.	has the skills necessary to perform the functions of the position. (a) When affirmative action is used to cure the effects of past ethnic or sexual discrimination by a pa
0.	ticular educational or other public employer, the term "underrepresented" means that the percentage
	of ethnic minorities or women in an educational or other public employer's workforce is significantly
(1)	below the percentage of qualified ethnic minorities or women in the relevant labor market;
(b)	When affirmative action is used to achieve or maintain diversity in an educational or other public employer's workforce, the term "underrepresented" means that the percentage of ethnic minorities or
	women in an educational or other public employer's workforce is significantly below the percentage
	that is necessary to achieve the educational and societal benefits of ethnic or sexual diversity.
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
*	
	s current policies reflect a concern with the fact that there traditionally has been a disproportionately low percentage of my yed as teachers in elementary schools, and support the use of affirmative action to cure such underrepresentation. The fail

53 of male elementary school teachers are so markedly different from those involved in regard to ethnic minorities and women, NEA

believes that the problems should not be dealt with in the same Policy Statement.

1 Principles

- 2
- NEA reaffirms its strong support for the use of affirmative action in employment (a) to cure the effects
 of past ethnic or gender discrimination by the particular employer involved, and (b) to achieve or
 maintain ethnic or gender diversity in an employer's workforce.
- 6 2. When necessary for the above purposes, affirmative action should be used with regard to recruitment,
 7 training, employment, assignments, transfers, promotions, layoff, recall, and other aspects of the employment relationship.
- 9 3. The employment of a non-ethnic minority or male employee should not be terminated solely for the purpose of curing the effects of past discrimination by the particular employer involved, or achieving or maintaining diversity in an employer's workforce. When a fiscal exigency, a reduction in student
 12 enrollment, or other bona fide factor requires a reduction in an employer's workforce, affirmative action may be appropriate to maintain—but not to increase—the pre-existing percentage of ethnic-minority or female employees in the workforce.
- 4. Affirmative action should be used, in certain circumstances, to make choices among qualified individuals. An ethnic-minority or woman applicant who is not qualified for the position in question should not, on the basis of ethnicity or gender, be given preference over a qualified non-minority or male applicant. An employer should be allowed to use affirmative action training programs and take other ethnic- or gender-conscious actions in order to expand the pool of qualified ethnic-minority or female applicants for employment positions.
- 5. The use of affirmative action is appropriate when ethnic minorities or women are underrepresented
 in an employer's workforce as a whole, or when they are underrepresented in the professional educator, education support, or administrator/supervisor categories of an educational employer's workforce.
 Whether the use of affirmative action is appropriate to deal with the underrepresentation of ethnic
 minorities or women at a school building, in an operational department, or in some other segment of
 an employer's workforce should be determined on a case-by-case basis after assessing all of the relevant
 factors.
- 28 6. (a) Decisions as to the use of affirmative action in employment including decisions as to the relation29 ship between affirmative action and seniority—should be made voluntarily by the employer and the
 30 local employee organization through collective bargaining or other form of bilateral decisionmaking.
- (b) Although NEA urges its affiliates to support the use of affirmative action in employment as recommended in this Statement of Policy, affiliates are free to decide for themselves what positions to take in this regard. Accordingly, the NEA will not deny support to an affiliate that is seeking to enforce contractual or statutory employment rights solely because those rights are contrary to positions recommended in this Statement of Policy.
- 36 7. (a) Whether NEA participates in litigation involving affirmative action will be determined on a case37 by-case basis after considering all of the relevant factors, including, among others, the NEA policy
 38 on the issue presented, the position (if any) taken by NEA affiliates, and the precedential effect of the
 39 litigation.
- 40 (b) NEA will participate in litigation involving the relationship between affirmative action and seniority
 41 only with the approval of an NEA governing body (i.e., Representative Assembly, Board of Directors, or Executive Committee).
- (c) A court should have the power to impose an affirmative action remedy that is contrary to the seniority
 rights of employees only when there has been a judicial finding that the underrepresentation of ethnic minorities or women in the workforce is attributable to unlawful discrimination by the particular
 employer involved, and then only to the extent that the remedy is necessary to cure the effects of the
 unlawful discrimination.
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54 55

	Adopted by the 2000 Representative Assembly, amended 2021
Prea	amble
public (1) sei oppose	rtain forms of private sector involvement have the potential to adversely affect public education and other c services and impair NEA's ability to achieve its organizational goals and objectives. This Policy Statement ts forth the criteria that are used by NEA in order to determine whether and under what circumstances it to e or support private sector involvement in public education, and (2) based upon those criteria, indicates the on taken by NEA with regard to certain commonly-used forms of such involvement. ¹
Defi	nitions
For p	ourposes of this Policy Statement, the following definitions apply:
1.	The term "public school" means a preK program, an elementary school, or a secondary school that i supported by tax dollars; that is under the jurisdiction of and subject to comprehensive regulation b governmental entity; that, subject to reasonable pedagogically-based distinctions, provides access to resident students; that is financially and educationally accountable to the public or its elected representatives; and that seeks to inculcate in its students basic values that are rooted in the democratic a
	egalitarian traditions of our country;
2.	The term "privatization program" means a private school tuition voucher program, a private school tax credit/deduction program, or other program pursuant to which public funds are used—directly indirectly—to subsidize preK–12 private school education;
3.	The term "subcontracting program" means an arrangement pursuant to which private sector entitie are used to perform functions—either support or professional—that traditionally have been perform by public elementary and secondary school employees, public higher education employees, or other public employees;
4.	The term "private school tuition voucher program" means a program pursuant to which public func- are used to pay, in whole or in part, the tuition for a student to attend a private school—either by di- payment to a private school, or as reimbursement to a student's parents;
5.	The term "private school tuition tax credit/deduction program" means a program that provides a ta advantage—either in the form of a credit against income tax, or a deduction in computing income tax—to persons who pay for, or contribute to, the cost of private education;
6.	The term "sectarian private school" means a private school that is affiliated with a religious group, institution, or organization, or that includes a religious component in its educational program;
7.	The term "economic security" means the right to continued employment in the same or a substantial equivalent position, with the same or substantially equivalent compensation, benefits, and working
8.	conditions; The term "whole student approach" means that in order for effective learning to take place, every st dent must be healthy, safe, engaged, supported, and challenged;
9.	The term "public-private partnership" means an arrangement between private investors and a public entity regarding the financing and/or operation of public projects;
10.	The term "social impact bond" means an arrangement between private investors and a public entity which the private investors pay the up-front cost of providing the service and the government repay the costs—with interest—only if goals are met.

Charter Schools adopted by the 2017 Representative Assembly.

1 Principles²

2

3 A. Criteria

NEA reaffirms its strong and historical commitments to (1) promoting the cause of public education, (2) preserving the principle of separation of church and state, (3) protecting the economic security of
public employees, and (4) achieving racial integration in the public schools and preventing resegregation.
Consistent with these commitments, NEA is opposed to any privatization or subcontracting program that:
Has the potential to reduce the resources that otherwise would be available to achieve and/or main-

- 9 tain a system of quality public education, or the potential to otherwise negatively impact on public education;
 10 education;
- Allows public funds to be used for religious education or other religious purposes, or otherwise weakens the wall of separation between church and state;
- 13 3. Places the economic security of public employees at risk, without regard to individual job performance, so that the services in question can be performed by private sector employees; or
- 4. Has the purpose or effect of causing or maintaining racial segregation, including institutional or systemic racism, in the public schools.
- 17

18 B. Application of Criteria

19 1. Private School Tuition Voucher Programs

- a. NEA opposes private school tuition voucher programs that pay for students to attend private schools *in order to obtain educational services that are available to them in public schools to which they have reaso- nable access.* Such programs reduce the resources that otherwise would be available for public education, and otherwise impair the ability of the affected school districts to provide a quality public education.
- 24 NEA also opposes the foregoing type of private school tuition voucher programs because they have
 25 the potential to reduce the student population in the affected school districts, which in turn could
 26 result in the displacement of public education employees. This places the economic security of public education employees at risk, without regard to individual job performance, so that the services in
 28 question can be performed by private sector employees.
- 29 To the extent that sectarian private schools participate in voucher programs of this type, public
 30 funds are used to pay for religious education and other religious activities. NEA opposes such participation because it weakens the wall of separation between church and state.
- b. NEA does not take a categorical position for or against private school tuition voucher programs that pay for students to attend private schools *in order to obtain educational services that are not available to them in public schools to which they have reasonable access*—such as, for example, secondary schools for students who reside in school districts that operate only elementary schools, or specialized services for disabled students.
- 37 If the unavailable services are provided by sectarian private schools, NEA would oppose the
 38 program to the extent the public funds are used to pay for religious education and/or other religious
 39 activities.
- If the participating private schools are not sectarian, or if the funds made available to sectarian private
 schools are used only for secular purposes, the acceptability of the program would depend on whether it is feasible for the public schools to provide the services in question, related actions of the school
 district, and other such factors. Because these factors can best be assessed in context, NEA defers to
 the judgment of the relevant state and local affiliates.
- 45

46 2. Tuition Tax Credit/Deduction Programs

47 Because tax credits/deductions have the same potential financial impact on public education as
48 the direct payment of public funds, tuition tax credit/deduction programs are the functional equi49 valent of tuition voucher programs. Accordingly, the position that NEA takes with regard to tuition
50 tax credit/deduction programs is the same as the position that it takes with regard to tuition voucher
51 programs.
52 ______

^{53 &}lt;sup>2</sup> These Principles are set forth in summary terms. The underlying analysis, and the rationale for the positions taken, are contained in

⁵⁴ the May 2000 Report of the NEA Special Committee on Educational Privatization.

1 2

3. Privatization Programs Pursuant to Which Public Funds are Used to Provide Services, Materials, and/or Other Assistance to Private Schools or to Students Who Attend Such Schools

3 NEA does not oppose the use of public funds to provide services, materials, and/or other assis-4 tance to private schools or to students who attend private schools in all circumstances. Such assistance 5 may be acceptable if the services, materials, and/or other assistance (a) are not part of the basic educa-6 tional program that is provided by the private school, but are ancillary to that program, (b) as a general 7 matter, do not in and of themselves provide an incentive for public school students to transfer to private 8 schools,³ (c) are not so costly as to negatively impact on the ability of public schools to implement their 9 own educational programs, and (d) are secular in nature and are incapable of diversion to religious 10 use—such as bus transportation or secular library books, as opposed to tape recorders, computers, etc. 11 NEA's position with regard to programs of this type will be determined on a case-by-case basis, after 12 considering the structure and operation of the program in question.

13

Subcontracting Programs Pursuant to Which Private-Sector Entities Are Used to Provide Public Services

NEA will oppose specific subcontracting programs under which private-sector entities are used to 16 provide public services if it determines that the programs have a negative impact on public education, 17 18 reduce or eliminate the number of staff employed that currently provide that educational service, reduce pay and/or benefits from existing staff providing that educational service, or have a negative impact 19 20 on the whole student approach to education, or if-because sectarian entities are used to provide the 21 services—they weaken the wall of separation between church and state. NEA's position with regard to 22 programs of this type will depend in most cases on two issues. First, is a contractor capable of providing 23 employees who have the professional development, commitment, character, and workplace stability to 24 participate in the whole student approach. Second, whether they place the economic security of public 25 education employees at risk, without regard to individual job performance, so that the services in ques-26 tion can be performed by private-sector employees.

27 On this latter basis, NEA opposes the use of private-sector transportation companies if it results 28 in the displacement of publicly-employed school bus drivers, the use of private-sector food service 29 companies if it results in the displacement of publicly-employed school cafeteria workers, and any other 30 program that simply replaces public education employees with private-sector employees. NEA opposes 31 the use of private-sector companies that are hostile to labor unions or that interfere with employees 32 in the exercise of their right to organize and bargain collectively. NEA opposes no-bid contracts with 33 private-sector companies. NEA opposes requests for proposals (RFPs) that do not affirmatively provide 34 opportunities for female- and minority-owned businesses to compete.

35 NEA's position with regard to subcontracting programs and pilot programs under which the 36 use of private-sector entities do not result in the displacement of public employees because the services 37 in question have not traditionally been performed by public employees cannot be determined in the 38 abstract. The acceptability of such programs can best be determined in context—after considering such 39 factors as the economic and programmatic feasibility of using public employees to provide the services, 40 related actions of the school district or employer, the nature and track record of the particular private-41 sector entity involved, and whether the local Association has been consulted. Should pilot programs be 42 deemed successful, the employees in said program shall be accreted into the appropriate bargaining unit. 43 NEA does not take a categorical position for or against programs of this type, but defers to the judg-44 ment of the relevant state and local affiliates.

45

46 Privatization by Attrition

NEA opposes the privatization of employees based on hire date in which employees with more seniority
may remain employees of the district, and newer employees are employees of a private-sector entity. While this
method mitigates the immediate damage of privatization, it creates an incentive for forcing older employees
out, and ultimately ends with an entirely privatized group of employees and inferior services for our students.

52

^{53 &}lt;sup>3</sup> The qualification "as a general matter" is necessary because the result might be otherwise in a particular case. Thus, for example, a student might

⁵⁴ attend a private as opposed to a public school if publicly funded transportation were available.

1 Social Impact Bond

2 NEA opposes Social Impact Bonds (SIBs) – agreements in which the private sector funds a service and the

3 government repays it with interest if outcome targets are met. First, SIBs always cost more. Payment includes

4 the actual underlying service plus layers of private actors and data collectors, each of whom requires its own

5 profit. Second, the SIB by definition focuses on metrics – numerical indicators of outcomes. Thus, choosing

6 the metric is the key to success, not success itself so the program design "teaches to the test." Even worse, SIBs7 can distract from efforts to solve the actual problem. The existence and availability of SIB funding changes

8 the question from "what is the best solution?" to "what can be funded by a SIB deal?" Options that are not

9 interesting to investors or less amenable to simple measures are excluded from consideration. Yet complex

10 problems cannot be reduced to simple metrics.

D. Kindergarten and Prekindergarten

Adopted by the 2003 Representative Assembly, amended 2013

5 6 Introduction

7
8 The term "early childhood education" is used by educators to refer to educational programs provided for
9 children from birth through age eight. Within this eight-year span, there are four separate developmental age
10 groups: infants and toddlers (i.e., children from birth to age three); prekindergarten children (i.e., children
11 age three and up who have not yet entered kindergarten); children in kindergarten; and children in the
12 primary grades (i.e., grades one through three). Because there are significant differences in the patterns of
13 growth and learning of the children in each of these developmental age groups, it is appropriate to deal with
14 each group separately rather than consider early childhood education in the aggregate.

This Policy Statement sets forth NEA's positions with regard to kindergarten and prekindergarten.¹ For
purposes of discussion, the positions are grouped into two categories—relating to the availability and financing of kindergarten and prekindergarten, and the educational quality of kindergarten and prekindergarten.

19 Availability and Financing

20

1

2 3

4

21 A. Kindergarten

22 1. Availability

Because of the proliferation of prekindergarten programs, kindergarten may no longer be the pri mary bridge between home and formal education. But it still serves an important transitional function:
 in kindergarten children are expected to learn the basic academic and social skills that prepare them for
 the demands of first and subsequent grades. In order to ensure that this expectation is met, kindergarten
 attendance should be mandatory, and all states should offer a publicly-funded, free, quality kindergarten
 program.

Wide age spans in kindergarten classes can make it difficult for teachers to implement a curriculum that accommodates children's substantially different levels and paces of learning. In order to reduce the age span, there should be a uniform entrance age for kindergarten. This means that there should be both a minimum and maximum cut-off date: children should not be allowed to enter kindergarten before they reach a minimum age, or if they are above a maximum age. In terms of the uniform age itself, children should be required to have reached age five at the beginning of kindergarten and should be required to enter kindergarten not later than their sixth birthday.

36 The minimum and maximum entrance ages should generally be applied; however, there should be a 37 mechanism that allows for exceptions on a case-by-case basis. This mechanism should not simply accom-38 modate any parents who wish to enroll their children in kindergarten before they are five years of age or 39 delay the entrance of their children until after they are six years of age. The mechanism should rather 40 include specific criteria for determining whether an exception is warranted, and the final determination 41 should be made by the school district after appropriate consultation with the parents and the kindergar-42 ten teacher. Because these criteria can best be determined in context, NEA defers in this regard to the 43 judgment of its affiliates, with the following caveat: because of the problems that it generally creates for 44 kindergarten classes, parents who seek to enroll children who are not yet five years of age should bear a 45 particularly heavy burden of persuasion.

46

47 2. Financing

The public schools should be the primary provider of kindergarten, and—as a component part of
the public school program—should be financed in the same manner as the rest of the public school
program. But the money should come from "new" funding sources. This does not necessarily mean
that additional taxes must be imposed, but that the funds necessary to finance mandatory full-day

52

⁵³ ¹ These positions are set forth in summary terms. The underlying analysis, and a more complete rationale for the positions taken,

⁵⁴ are contained in the April 2003 Report of the NEA Special Committee on Early Childhood Education.

- 1 kindergarten—including the money to recruit and adequately compensate qualified teachers and educa-
- 2 tion support professionals—should not be obtained at the expense of other educational priorities.
- 3 NEA recognizes and respects the right of parents to send their children to private kindergarten—just as it
- 4 does the right of parents to send their children to private elementary/secondary schools. The issue, howe-
- 5 ver, is whether public funds should be used to pay for private kindergarten. Based upon the NEA Policy
- 6 Statement Regarding Privatization and Subcontracting Programs, NEA's answer to this question is "no."
- 7

8 B. Prekindergarten

9 1. Availability

10 There is no longer any serious doubt about the value of prekindergarten. Children who participate in 11 quality prekindergarten programs perform better academically and exhibit better cognitive and social 12 skills—on both a short-term and long-term basis—than similar children who do not participate in such 13 programs. And, this is true for all children, not just those from disadvantaged backgrounds. NEA sup-14 ports the establishment in every state of a non-mandatory "universal" prekindergarten for all three- and 15 four-year-old children—i.e., all such children whose parents want them to enroll should have access to, 16 but not be required to attend, a publicly-funded, free, quality prekindergarten program.²

There are specific advantages to public as opposed to private prekindergarten, and the public schools
should be the primary provider. Criteria should be designed to ensure program quality (essentially the
same requirements that would apply to public school prekindergarten) and preserve the principle of
church/state separation.

22 2. Financing

23 The existing pattern of financing for prekindergarten differs from K-12 education in that the federal 24 contribution is substantially greater and exceeds that of the states. This difference derives from the fact 25 that prekindergarten-including Head Start-has focused on children from disadvantaged families, and 26 the federal government traditionally has played a special role in providing educational access and oppor-27 tunity for such children. Consistent with this tradition, the federal government should provide funds 28 sufficient to make prekindergarten available for all three- and four-year-old children from disadvantaged 29 families. State (including as appropriate local) governments should be responsible for providing the additional funds necessary to make prekindergarten available to all three- and four-year-old children. Both 30 the federal and state governments should use "new" money to fund prekindergarten-not money taken 31 from other areas of education and childcare which also have important unmet needs. 32

33

34 Educational Quality

Although the positions taken with regard to early childhood education should reflect the different patterns of growth and learning for each of the four developmental age groups included within the definition of early childhood education, there is an affinity between kindergarten and prekindergarten with regard to the criteria for a quality education program. Accordingly, in order to avoid redundancy, this Policy Statement discusses kindergarten and prekindergarten together, noting as appropriate the relevant differences.

- A. NEA supports full-day—as opposed to half-day—kindergarten and prekindergarten.³ There is ample evidence to demonstrate that the subsequent academic performance of children who attend full-day kindergarten and prekindergarten is better than that of similar children who attend half-day programs, and that they also make significantly greater progress in learning social skills. This is true not just for children from low-income families, but for all children. Nor is it the mere increase in hours that leads to these positive effects, but rather what children experience during the day.
- 46 47

- **50** until after they have reached that age. Such children should be eligible to attend the prekindergarten program.
- 51

55 regular school year.

^{48 &}lt;sup>2</sup> The reference to three-and four-year-old children assumes that when children reach five years of age they will be enrolled in

⁴⁹ kindergarten. But this is the recommended minimum entrance age for kindergarten, and some children may not enter kindergarten

⁵² ³ As used in this Policy Statement, the term "full-day" is not intended to refer to a specific number of school day hours, but means

⁵³ rather that the starting and ending times for kindergarten and prekindergarten are keyed to the regular school day. Implicit in

⁵⁴ our support for full-day kindergarten and prekindergarten is support for "full-year" programs—i.e., programs that operate for the

1 B. The curriculum and pedagogy in kindergarten and prekindergarten should foster all areas of a child's 2 development—thinking, problem solving, and the development of social and physical skills, as well as 3 basic academic skills. Toward this end, the curriculum and pedagogy should incorporate components 4 of both the "child-centered" and "didactic" approaches. In an effort to avoid "curriculum shovedown" 5 in kindergarten— i.e., an attempt to push expectations from the primary grades down into kinder-6 garten-academic skills should be properly integrated into the overall kindergarten curriculum, and 7 taught in a manner that is developmentally appropriate for the children involved. The curriculum and 8 pedagogy for prekindergarten should not be identical to that in kindergarten, but should reflect the 9 fact that there are developmental differences between three- and four-year-old children and five-year-10 old children that may tip the balance in prekindergarten even further away from didactic academic 11 instruction. 12 C. NEA's basic position with regard to size is set forth in Resolution B-11. After opining "that excellence 13 in the classroom can best be attained by small class size," the Resolution states that "[c]lass size maxi-14 mums must be based on the type of students, grade level, subject area content, and physical facilities." 15 Consistent with this statement, NEA does not recommend any specific number as the optimum size 16 for kindergarten and prekindergarten. The reference in Resolution B-11 to "optimal class sizes" is intended to apply to classes at all educational levels, and is not tailored to kindergarten and prekin-17 18 dergarten. As regards kindergarten and prekindergarten, it is relevant to note the research consensus 19 that, in order to achieve the greatest academic gains, children should be taught in small classes at the 20 earliest possible point in their school careers. 21 D. Resolution F-28 provides that all "classroom teachers should be provided with support staff to assist 22 in the educational process." When dealing with kindergarten and prekindergarten children-who 23 because of their age require assistance in performing various life skills, pose unique health and safety 24 concerns, etc.—the primary need is for additional adult supervision in the classroom. Accordingly, 25 kindergarten and prekindergarten teachers should have the assistance of a full-time classroom aide. 26 The purpose of this classroom aide should be to assist the classroom teacher—and, as indicated in 27 Resolution F-28, NEA "believes that the employment of education support professionals should not 28 be a rationale for increasing class size." 29 E. Assessment of kindergarten and prekindergarten students should be holistic, and involve all deve-30 lopmental domains (i.e., physical, social, emotional, and cognitive). Multiple sources of information 31 should be used (for example, obtaining parent information as well as direct observation of the child), 32 and children should be given an opportunity to demonstrate their skills in different ways, allowing 33 for variability in learning pace and for different cultural backgrounds. For this reason, the use of large 34 scale, standardized tests is inappropriate. And, because the development of young children is uneven 35 and greatly impacted by environmental factors, assessment results for some children may not be reli-36 able until they are in the third grade or beyond. 37 The purpose of any assessment of kindergarten and prekindergarten students should be to imp-38 rove the quality of education, by (1) providing information that will enable kindergarten and prekin-39 dergarten teachers to work more effectively with the children, and first grade or kindergarten teachers, 40 as the case may be, to individualize the curriculum to facilitate learning, (2) identifying children with 41 special needs, developmental delays, and health problems (i.e., vision and hearing), and (3) developing 42 baseline data against which future data can be compared. 43 F. Teachers, education support professionals, and administrators who work in kindergarten and prekin-44 dergarten should be qualified to perform their functions effectively. These employees should be consi-45 dered qualified if they hold the license and/or certificate that the state requires for their employment. 46 Although this same basic rule should apply with regard to kindergarten and prekindergarten 47 teachers, the two situations are somewhat different. Because "a teaching license should signify that an 48 individual entering the teaching profession is competent to teach," Resolution G-3, and because all sta-49 tes require public school kindergarten teachers to be licensed, any concerns regarding the qualifications 50 of teachers at the kindergarten level are adequately addressed. In many states, however, public school 51 prekindergarten teachers are not required to have a state license, but can be employed if they have some 52 type of training in child development and obtain some type of certification in early childhood educa-53 tion. There should be appropriate mechanisms to ensure that prekindergarten teachers who do not hold 54 a state license possess the requisite knowledge and skills and are working towards full prekindergarten 55

1 licensure in states where such licensure exists.

Consistent with Resolution D-15, it is NEA's belief that "continuous professional development is
required for education professionals to achieve and maintain the highest standards of student learning
and professional practice." And, consistent with Resolution D-16, NEA believes that "continuous professional development is required for education support professionals to achieve and maintain the highest
standards of professional practice in order to meet the needs of the whole student." This professional
development should be provided at school district expense.

G. Resolution A-5 expresses NEA's belief that "parents/guardians who are active participants in the edu-cation of their children increase the likelihood of the achievement of educational excellence." Because kindergarten and prekindergarten are critical transition points for children—prekindergarten is generally a child's first organized educational experience, and kindergarten is the bridge to the more structu-red environment of first and subsequent grades—such parental involvement is particularly important at these levels. Training programs should be made available to parents/guardians to prepare them to take an active role in the education of their kindergarten and prekindergarten children, and provide them with an understanding of the expectations that will be placed on their children, and the new policies and procedures that their children will experience, in kindergarten and prekindergarten.

This Policy Statement refers simply to kindergarten and prekindergarten children and makes
no special mention of children with disabilities or other exceptional needs. In Resolution B-1, NEA
"advocates the establishment of fully funded early childhood special education programs," and states
that "[t]hese programs and necessary services should be readily accessible for children with disabilities
and staffed by certified/licensed teachers, qualified support staff, and therapists." Implicit in this Policy
Statement is the unqualified endorsement of the foregoing positions with regard to kindergarten and
prekindergarten.

1 2	E. Teacher Evaluation and Accountability			
2 3 4	Adopted by the 2011 Representative Assembly, amended 2017			
5 6 7	Introduction			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Consistent with NEA's belief that the "teaching profession is a cornerstone of society," "composed of individuals meeting the highest standards" of "evaluation" and "accountability," (NEA Resolution D-1), and recognizing that evaluation and accountability systems too often leave teachers without the feedback or support needed to enhance practice and advance student learning, NEA sets forth below the criteria for the types of teacher evaluation and accountability systems necessary to ensure a high quality public education for every student.			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I. High Quality Teacher Evaluation Systems NEA believes that our students and teachers deserve high quality evaluation systems that provide the tools teachers need to continuously tailor instruction, enhance practice, and advance student learning. Such systems must provide both ongoing, non-evaluative, formative feedback and regular, comprehensive, meaningful, and fair evaluations. Such systems must be developed and implemented with teachers and their representatives, either through collective bargaining where available, or in partnership with the affiliate representing teachers at the state and local level.			
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	a. All teachers should be regularly evaluated by highly trained evaluators on the basis of clear standards as to what teachers should know and be able to do. Such standards should be high and rigorous and define the rich knowledge, skills, dispositions, and responsibilities of teachers. Such standards may be based on national models such as the NEA Principles of Professional Practice, the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium Model Core Teaching Standards, the Standards developed by the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, or statewide standards for the teaching profession.			
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 b. Evaluations must be comprehensive – based on multiple indicators to provide teachers with clear and actionable feedback to enhance their practice – and must include all three of the following components: i. <i>Indicators of Teacher Practice</i> demonstrating a teacher's subject matter knowledge, skill in planning and delivering instruction that engages students, ability to address issues of equity and diversity, and ability to monitor and assess student learning and adjust instruction accordingly. Such indicators may include the following indicators or others chosen by a local or state affiliate: classroom observations, proof of practice (e.g., lesson plans, curriculum plans, student assessments, minutes from team planning meetings, curriculum maps, and teacher instructional notes), teacher interviews, and self-assessments. 			
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	<i>ii. Indicators of Teacher Contribution and Growth</i> demonstrating a teacher's professional growth and contribution to a school's and/or district's success. Such indicators may include the following indicators or others chosen by a local or state affiliate: completion of meaningful professional development that is applied to practice; structured collaboration with colleagues focused on improving practice and student outcomes (e.g., by way of professional learning communities and grade or subject teams); evidence of reflective practice; teacher leadership in the school, district, or educational community; collaborative projects with institutions of higher education; and positive engagement with students, parents, and colleagues.			
49 50 51 52 53 54 55	<i>iii. Indicators of Contribution to Student Learning, Growth, and/or Development</i> demonstrating a teacher's impact on student learning, growth, and/or development. Such indicators must be authentic, recognize that there are multiple factors that impact a student's learning which are beyond a teacher's control (which must include, but not be limited to, learning challenges and poor attendance), and may include the following indicators chosen by a local or state affiliate: student learning objectives			

- 1 developed jointly by the teacher and principal/evaluator; teacher-selected assessments; student work
- 2 (papers, portfolios, projects, presentations); and/or teacher defined student development objectives.
- **3** High quality, developmentally appropriate teacher-selected assessments that provide valid, reliable,
- timely, and meaningful information regarding student learning, growth, and/or development may be
 used for quality, formative evaluation. Standardized tests, even if deemed valid and reliable, may not
 determine any part of an educator's evaluation or be used to support any employment action against a
 teacher.
- 8 9

c. Evaluations must be meaningful, providing all teachers with clear and actionable feedback linked to tailored professional development. Such feedback should include regular non-evaluative formative feedback
 meaning feedback that serves only to inform practice and that does not contribute to formal evaluation results – as such feedback is often the most effective way to improve teacher practice. Such non-evaluative feedback may include self-reflection, peer observation and/or teacher approved surveys of students to assess engagement and learning behaviors.

16

d. Evaluations must be fair, conducted by highly trained and objective supervisors or other evaluators as
agreed to by the local affiliate, whose work is regularly reviewed to ensure the validity and reliability of
evaluation results. If an evaluation will be the basis for any action relating to a teacher's employment,
ratings by more than one evaluator must be provided in support of the action. Where a teacher believes
an evaluation does not accurately reflect the respective level of practice, the teacher must have the right to
contest the evaluation, and have access to the information necessary to do so.

23

24 e. To satisfy these requirements, evaluation systems must be adequately funded and staffed, and fully developed and validated, including by training all teachers on the new systems, before they are used to make 25 26 any high stakes employment decisions. NEA recognizes that our schools do not currently have enough staff trained to provide meaningful evaluative and non-evaluative feedback to teachers. To expand the 27 28 number of people who can do so, the Representative Assembly directs NEA to examine existing men-29 torship, peer assistance, and peer assistance and review programs, and report back to the October 2011 NEA Board meeting regarding those programs, their compliance with the requirements set forth in D-10 30 (Mentor Programs) and D-12 (Peer Assistance Programs and Peer Assistance & Review Programs), and 31 to make programmatic recommendations as to whether to expand such programs or develop others in 32 33 partnership with state and local Associations.

34

35

36 II. High Quality Teacher Accountability Systems

37
38 NEA believes that teachers are accountable for high quality instruction that advances student learning.
39 High quality teacher accountability systems, developed and implemented with teachers and their representatives either through collective bargaining where available, or in partnership with the affiliate representing teachers at the state and local level, should be based on the following principles.
42

- a. All teachers are responsible for providing a high quality education to students and supporting the efforts of colleagues and their school as a whole to do the same. To fulfill that responsibility, teachers have the right to a safe and supportive working environment including ongoing non-evaluative feedback on their practice that supports teachers' efforts to innovate and the right to regular, confidential evaluations.
- 47

b. All teachers have the responsibility to continually enhance their practice and to stay current in subject
matter and pedagogical approaches by reflecting and acting on feedback received, accessing professional
development opportunities provided, and collaborating with colleagues to enhance instruction. To fulfill
that responsibility, teachers have the right to increased autonomy over instructional practices, time during
the school day for collaboration with colleagues, a decisionmaking role in professional development, the
right to have such development tailored to enhancing skills identified as needing improvement in both
non-evaluative feedback and in evaluations, as well as the ability to pursue advanced coursework and

1 degrees as part of professional development.

2 3 c. If, through a high quality evaluation system, a teacher's practice fails to meet performance standards, a 4 teacher should be provided with clear notice of the deficiencies and an improvement plan should be deve-5 loped by the teacher, local Association, and employer. The improvement plan should provide the teacher with a reasonable opportunity - including time, high quality professional development, and support - to 6 7 meet expectations. In addition, the teacher should receive regular and frequent feedback from the district 8 and the local Association regarding progress during the support program period. What constitutes a 9 reasonable opportunity will depend on the nature of the deficiencies identified, but in no event should an 10 improvement plan exceed one school year. During the period in which a teacher is implementing an imp-11 rovement plan, the district shall provide a support program mutually agreed upon by the district and the local Association, which shall include the assignment of an accomplished teacher to assist the teacher not 12 13 meeting performance standards in improving practice and to ensure a quality education for that teacher's 14 students. 15 16 d. If a teacher fails to improve despite being given a reasonable opportunity to do so, or otherwise fails to 17 meet expectations, the teacher may be counseled to leave the profession or be subject to fair, transpa-18 rent, and efficient dismissal process that provides due process. Such a process should include: notice to 19 a teacher of the basis for the dismissal; early disclosure of all evidence on which the dismissal is based; 20 an early mandatory meeting between the teacher, employer, and the teacher's representative to discuss 21 possible resolution; and, failing such resolution, a prompt hearing before an impartial decisionmaker on 22 the charges. 23 24 e. NEA believes that it is appropriate and fitting for accountability systems to continue to differentiate 25 between the rights and responsibilities of probationary teachers, meaning those teachers in their initial 26 years of employment who may be nonrenewed upon notice at the end of a school year, and career teach-27 ers, meaning those teachers who have successfully served through the probationary period and may be 28 dismissed only for cause as defined by state law or local agreement or policy. 29 30 31 Probationary teachers should receive ongoing support for at least the first two years of their emplo-• 32 yment from locally developed and fully supported induction programs. The focus of such induction programs should be supportive and non-evaluative, designed to provide beginning teachers with the 33 34 support they need to learn and thrive in the teaching profession. Districts should be encouraged to 35 partner with colleges and universities to develop joint induction programs. No beginning teacher 36 should go for weeks, much less years, without receiving any feedback on their practice. 37 Probationary teachers should become career teachers if they meet or exceed expectations at the conclu-38 39 sion of their probationary employment period as defined by state law. A probationary teacher should have the right to require that the school district conduct the necessary evaluations within this time 40 41 period, so that an appropriate determination can be made as to career status. 42 43 Probationary teachers who meet or exceed expectations at the conclusion of their probationary emp-44 loyment period as defined by state law, and who are not granted career status, should have the right to 45 contest that denial before an impartial decisionmaker. 46 Once a probationary teacher has attained career status, that status should not be lost and should be 47 48 portable from one school district to another within a state. If a career teacher's performance fails to 49 meet expectations, the teacher may be counseled out of the profession or dismissed pursuant to a fair, 50 transparent, and efficient dismissal procedure that provides due process. 51 52 •

Career teachers have the responsibility to reflect upon and enhance their own practice and to support and enhance the practice of their colleagues, particularly probationary teachers. NEA encourages local affiliates to institutionalize opportunities for career teachers to provide such support and enhance the 55

- practice of their colleagues by way of including in collective bargaining agreements or local policies
 provisions supporting professional learning communities, partnerships with local/regional institutions
- of higher education, and mentorship and peer assistance programs.

5 III. The Role of the Association in High Quality Evaluation and Accountability Systems

6 The development, implementation, and enforcement of high quality evaluation and accountability sys-

tems are top priorities of NEA and its affiliates, presenting new opportunities and work for the Associationand its affiliates. The Representative Assembly therefore directs that NEA support that work by providing

9 the training and resources (including model fair dismissal procedures and other model language) needed to

develop, implement, and enforce high quality evaluation and accountability systems that enhance instruction

- **11** and improve student learning.

2 3 4 5 6	Adopted by the 2013 Representative Assembly, amended 2018 In the fast-paced, worldwide, competitive workplace we now live in, our traditional school models are not capable of meeting the needs of the 21st century student. All students—preK through graduate students— need to develop advanced critical thinking and information literacy skills and master new digital tools. At the
5	capable of meeting the needs of the 21st century student. All students—preK through graduate students— need to develop advanced critical thinking and information literacy skills and master new digital tools. At the
	capable of meeting the needs of the 21st century student. All students—preK through graduate students— need to develop advanced critical thinking and information literacy skills and master new digital tools. At the
7 8 9	same time, they need to develop the initiative to become self-directed learners while adapting to the ever-
10 11	changing digital information landscape. This shifting landscape creates new opportunities for NEA, our affiliates, our members, and our profession
12 13 14 15	in preschools, public elementary and secondary schools, and postsecondary institutions. The appropriate use of technology in education—as defined by educators rather than entities driven by for-profit motives—will improve student learning, quality of instruction, and education employee effectiveness, and will provide opportunities to eradicate educational inequities.
16 17 18 19	Digital technologies create new opportunities for accelerating, expanding, and individualizing learning. Our members and students are already actively engaged in building the schools and campuses of the future— including quality online communities. Increasingly, educators (including teachers, librarians/media specia- lists, faculty, and ESP staff) are becoming curriculum designers who orchestrate the delivery of content using
20 21 22 23 24	multiple instructional methods and technologies both within and beyond the traditional instructional day. Teaching and learning can now occur beyond the limitations of time and space. NEA embraces this new environment and these new technologies to better prepare our students for college and for 21st century careers.
24 25	Ensure Equity to Meet the Needs of Every Student
26 27	NEA believes that educational programs and strategies designed to close the achievement and digital gaps must address equity issues related to broadband Internet access, software and technical support, and hardware
28 29 30 31 32 33	maintenance. Also, technical support must be adequate to ensure that digital classrooms function properly and reliably for both educators and students. Under our current inequitable system of funding, simply moving to a large scale use of technology in preK–12 and postsecondary education will more likely widen achievement gaps among students than close them. For example, school districts with lower income populations simply will not be able to provide or maintain appropriate and relevant digital tools and resources for their students. We as a nation must address the issues of equity and access in a comprehensive manner in order to see the promise
34 35 36	and realize the opportunities that digital learning can provide. To that end, NEA believes that student learning needs can best be met by public school districts and postsecondary institutions working in collaboration with educators and local associations to develop compre-
37 38 39 40 41	hensive and thorough digital learning plans that address all the elements of incorporating technology into the instructional program. These plans should be living documents, constantly reviewed and adapted as chan- ging circumstances require, but always keeping the focus on student learning. Implementation of these plans should honor experimentation and creativity as part of the learning process for both educators and students, while always maintaining support for the professional judgment of educators. It is of critical importance that
42 43 44	the use of technology is recognized as a tool that assists and enhances the learning process, and is not the driver of the digital learning plan. These plans also should include the provision of adaptive technologies to meet individual students' needs,
45 46 47	including assistive technology to support students who are English Language Learners and students with a variety of disabilities or challenges.
48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Support and Enhance Educator Professionalism NEA believes that the increasing use of technology in preK to graduate level classrooms will transform the role of educators allowing the educational process to become ever more student-centered. This latest transfor- mation is not novel, but part of the continuing evolution of our education system. Educators, as professionals working in the best interests of their students, will continue to adjust and adapt their instructional practice and use of digital technology/tools to meet the needs and enhance the learning of their students. All educators are essential to student learning and should have access to relevant, high-quality, interactive

1 professional development in the integration of digital learning and the use of technology into their instruc-

2 tion and practice. Teachers need access to relevant training on how to use technology and incorporate its use

3 into their instruction, ESPs need access to training on how best to support the use of technology in class-

4 rooms, and administrators need training to make informed decisions about purchasing equipment, techno-

5 logy use, course assignments, and personnel assignments. School districts and postsecondary institutions need

6 to ensure that they provide interactive professional development on an ongoing basis, and to provide time for

- 7 all educators to take advantage of those opportunities. The training needs to address both the basic prepa-
- 8 ration on how to make the technology work, and how to most effectively incorporate it into the educational9 program.
- 10 Educator candidates need problem-solving and creativity experiences and should have the opportunity to

learn different strategies throughout their pre-service education and regular professional development so theyare prepared for using not only the technology of today, but of tomorrow.

- In these changing roles, it is important to protect the rights of educators, and to fairly evaluate the
 accomplishments of educational institutions as a whole. For example, the use of supplemental, remedial, or
 course recovery online instruction can affect the hours, wages, and working conditions of all educational
- 16 employees, but can dramatically affect college and university faculty and staff.
- Educators and their local associations need support and assistance in vetting the quality of digital coursematerials and in developing or accessing trusted digital venues to share best practices and provide support.
- 19 Furthermore, education employees should own the copyright to materials that they create in the course
- **20** of their employment. There should be an appropriate "teacher's exception" to the "works made for hire"
- doctrine, pursuant to which works created by education employees in the course of their employment are

22 owned by the employee. This exception should reflect the unique practices and traditions of academia.

- All issues relating to copyright ownership of materials created by education employees should be resolved
 through collective bargaining or other process of bilateral decisionmaking between the employer and the
 affiliate.
- 26 The ownership rights of education employees who create copyrightable materials should not prevent
 27 education employees from making appropriate use of such materials in providing educational services to their
 28 students.
- 29

30 Enhance and Enrich Student Learning

- Optimal learning environments should neither be totally technology free, nor should they be totally online
 and devoid of educator and peer interaction. The Association believes that an environment that maximizes
 student learning will use a "blended" and/or "hybrid" model situated somewhere along a continuum between
 these two extremes.
- 35 NEA believes there is no one perfect integration of technology and traditional forms of delivering educa-
- **36** tion for all students. Every class will need to be differentiated, and at some level
- every student needs a different approach. Professional educators are in the best position and must bedirectly involved in determining what combination works best in particular classes and with particular
- **39** students.
- 40 Students' maturity and developmental status determines how students adapt to the use of digital techno-
- 41 logy as they continually face more challenging materials. The use of technology in the classroom will help
- 42 build self-reliance and motivation in students, but it must be appropriate to their developmental and skill
- **43** level, as determined by professional educators.
- 44 As different digital tools are created and used, the impact of technology on traditional socialization roles
- 45 must be considered. The face-to-face relationship between student and educator is critical to increasing
- 46 student learning, and students' interactions with each other are an important part of their socialization into47 society.
- 48 Additionally, assessment and accountability systems need to be carefully developed to ensure academic49 integrity and accurately measure the impact on students. Sensible guidelines and strategies should be used to
- 49 integrity and accurately measure the impact on students. Sensible guidelines and strategies should be used to50 ensure students are completing their own online assignments and taking the appropriate assessments.
- 50 51

52 The Role of the Association in Promoting High Quality, Digital Learning

- 53 The development and implementation of high quality digital learning must be a top priority of NEA and54 its affiliates. The Representative Assembly, therefore, directs that NEA demonstrate its support of digital
- its affiliates. The Representative Assembly, therefore, directs that NEA demonstrate its support of digital
- 55

1 learning by providing leadership and sharing learning opportunities to develop and implement high qua-

2 lity digital learning that enhances instruction and improves student learning. The Representative Assembly

3 strongly encourages NEA to do this work in the field of digital learning in partnership with trusted organiza-

4 tions and experts who can work at the national, state, and local levels to assist states, school districts, colleges

5 and universities, and local associations in developing their capacity for high quality digital learning.

6 The Representative Assembly also directs NEA to encourage its members and utilize their expertise to7 engage in professional learning that enhances their understanding of how to creatively and appropriately

8 integrate digital tools and high quality digital learning into their instruction. Such professional learning

9 should include sharing of expertise by members who can serve as valuable mentors and professional partners
10 for other members who are new to digital instruction.

The Representative Assembly further directs that NEA work with stakeholders

11 The Representative Assembly further directs that NEA work with stakeholders, including parents, stu-

dents, and policy makers, to seize the opportunities that digital technologies provide. Some educators nowhave access to the technological tools to further professionalize teaching, vastly enhance and enrich student

learning, and meet the individual needs of every student. It is time to ensure that ALL educators have accessand are prepared to use these digital tools.

16

17 Addendum

18

19 Blended and/or Hybrid Learning

Blended and/or hybrid learning is an integrated instructional approach in which a student learns, at least
in part, at a supervised physical location away from home and through online delivery where the student has
control over at least some aspects of the time and place of accessing the curriculum. The Policy Statement
supports maximizing student learning by using both technology and real life educators in the process. It

rejects the idea that effective learning can take place completely online and without interaction with certifiedteachers and fully qualified faculty.

26

27 The Definition of Fully Qualified Educators

The term "educator" includes teachers, librarians/media specialists, and education support professionals
in preK-12 public schools, and faculty and staff of higher education institutions. Educators should be fully
qualified, certified, and/or licensed to teach the subjects they are teaching, including in online instructional
settings.

32

33 Technology as a Tool

34 Technology is a tool to enhance and enrich instruction for students, and should not be used to replace35 educational employees who work with students or limit their employment.

36

37 Special Education Services

38 Use of virtual learning to provide instruction to students receiving special education services for behavio39 ral/self-regulation needs will be determined by the IEP Team. The enrollment in a virtual school will not be used as a behavior consequence.

41

42 Data Privacy

43 Safeguarding personal data must also be a top priority of NEA and its affiliates. NEA needs to
44 demonstrate its commitment to protecting data privacy. Educators need to be informed about FERPA and
45 state data privacy laws, regulations, and policies. NEA believes that professional development needs to
46 include instruction about data privacy, including responsibilities and the rights of whistleblowers in the event

- **47** of reporting a violation.
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53 54
- 55

G. Discipline and the School-To-Prison Pipeline					
	Adopted by the 2016 Representative Assembly				
	DTE: If the 2022 Representative Assembly Adopts the Proposed Policy Statement on Safe, Just, and Equitable hools, that statement will supercede and replace this Policy Statement on Discipline and the School-To-Prison Pipeline				
T LGB scho the s ries. N discr linar Drop Disc C-15 "that ongo disci proc loyee NEA on st how	Atroduction the school-to-prison pipeline disproportionately places students of color, including those who identify as STQ, have disabilities, and/or are English Language Learners, into the criminal justice system for minor ol infractions and disciplinary matters, subjecting them to harsher punishments than their white peers for ame behaviors. The school-to-prison pipeline diminishes their educational opportunities and life trajecto-All educators – which includes every school employee – are key to ending the school-to-prison pipeline. (EA's Resolutions state NEA's firm belief that schools must be safe and welcoming for all students, iminatory toward none, and focused on educational practices that reach the whole child and discip-y policies that emphasize prevention and rehabilitation over punishment (see, e.g., Resolutions B-5. pout Prevention; B-14. Racism, Sexism, Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, and Gender Expression rimination (f - h, k); C-9. Student Mental Health and Wellness, C-13. Safe Schools and Communities, 5. Discipline, C-42. Student Rights and Responsibilities). NEA's Resolutions also reflect NEA's belief t all education employees, including those not typically involved in direct instruction, must be provided ping, relevant professional development in trauma-informed practices, behavior management, progressive pline, conflict resolution, restorative practices, bullying prevention techniques, safety plans and emergency edures, emergency lifesaving techniques, and crisis management" (D-18) and that both education emp-es and parents need training/guidance "to help and support student mental health and wellness." (C-9). Also believes that equally important is deepening educator awareness about their actions and the impact trudents. The purpose of this Policy Statement is not to modify existing NEA Resolutions, but to explain NEA will act on its already stated beliefs to end the school-to-prison pipeline.				
	Definitions purposes of this Policy Statement, the following definitions apply:				
1.	School-to-Prison Pipeline means the policies and practices that are directly and indirectly pushing students of color out of school and on a pathway to prison, including, but not limited to: harsh school discipline policies that overuse suspension and expulsion, increased policing and surveillance that create prison-like environments in schools, overreliance on referrals to law enforcement and the juvenile justice system, and an alienating and punitive high-stakes testing-driven academic environment.				
2.	Institutional Racism means the norms, policies, and practices that are structured into political, societal, and economic institutions that have the net effect of imposing oppressive conditions and denying rights, opportunity, and equality to identifiable groups based upon race or ethnicity.				
3.	Zero-Tolerance policies mean school disciplinary policies that set predetermined consequences or punishments for specific offenses or rule infractions. Zero-tolerance policies forbid persons in positions of authority from exercising discretion or changing punishments to fit individual circumstances.				
4.	Restorative practices are processes that proactively build healthy relationships and a sense of community to prevent and address conflict and wrongdoing. Restorative practices are increasingly being applied in individual schools and school districts to address youth behavior, rule violations, and to improve school climate and culture. Restorative practices can improve relationships between students, between students and educators, and even between educators, whose behavior often serves as a role model for students. They allow each member of the school community to develop and implement a school's adopted core				

- values. 1 2 3 Restorative practices allow individuals who may have committed harm to take full responsibility for 4 their behavior by addressing the individual(s) affected by the behavior. Taking responsibility requi-5 res understanding how the behavior affected others, acknowledging that the behavior was harmful to 6 others, taking action to repair the harm, and making changes necessary to avoid such behavior in the 7 future. Restorative practices also represent a mindset that can help guide adult and youth behavior and 8 relationship management in schools, not another program. They are not intended to replace current ini-9 tiatives and evidence based programs like Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) or social 10 and emotional learning models that assist in building a foundation and culture of caring. Programs and 11 initiatives like PBIS complement restorative practices. 12 13 5. Cultural Competence means the capacity to interact effectively and respectfully with people from 14 different racial, ethnic, and/or economic backgrounds. Such competence includes understanding that 15 different cultures have different communication codes and styles, being open to learning from others, to 16 shift out of one's own cultural paradigm, and to refrain from judging people before honestly exploring 17 what motivates their behavior. 18 19 6. Implicit Bias means the deep-seated attitudes or stereotypes that affect our understanding, actions, and 20 decisions in an unconscious manner. 21 22 7. To educate the Whole Child means to use all available resources to maximize the achievement, skills, 23 opportunities, and potential of each student by building upon individual strengths and addressing indi-24 vidual needs. A Whole Child approach prepares students to thrive in a democratic and diverse society 25 and changing world as knowledgeable, creative, engaged citizens and lifelong learners. 26 27 **Ending the School-to-Prison Pipeline** III. 28 The school-to-prison pipeline deprives students of color of their futures by pushing them out of school and its 29 pathway to college and careers, and into the juvenile and criminal justice systems. The pipeline is the result 30 of an array of policies and practices, fed by institutional racism, that disproportionately affect students of 31 color, including those who identify as LGBTQ, have disabilities, and/or are English Language Learners. The policies and practices include harsh school discipline policies that overuse suspension and expulsion, "zero-32 33 tolerance" policies that criminalize minor infractions of school rules, increased policing and surveillance in 34 schools that create prison-like environments in schools, and overreliance on exclusionary disciplinary refer-35 rals to law enforcement and juvenile justice authorities. Students who are suspended or expelled not only fall 36 behind academically but are significantly more likely to drop out of school altogether, fail to secure a job, rely 37 on social welfare programs, and end up in prison. 38 39 As educators, NEA and its members are committed to changing the policies and practices of the schools in 40 which we work to end the school-to-prison pipeline. Our work to that end will be guided by the following 41 five principles. 42 43 Guiding Principle 1: Eliminating Disparities in Discipline Practices
- 44 Disciplinary policies and practices should not have a disparate impact based on students of color, including
 45 those who identify as LGBTQ, have disabilities, and/or are English Language Learners. NEA will advocate
 46 for schools, school districts, and states to review their disciplinary policies and practices for any such disparate

47 impact; to take prompt and effective action to eliminate any disparate impact that is found; and to continue
48 to manifest discipling and effective action to eliminate any disparate impact that is found; and to continue

- **48** to monitor disciplinary policies and practices to ensure that they are fair and non-discriminatory.
- 49

50 Guiding Principle 2: Creating a Supportive and Nurturing School Climate

51 NEA will promote awareness of, and support the development of, effective school disciplinary procedures

- 52 that support high expectations for quality instruction and learning, treat students respectfully, and provide all
- **53** students with a supportive and nurturing school environment. NEA recognizes that educators play an essen-
- 54 tial role in developing such procedures and creating a school community that promotes respectful, caring,
- 55

- 1 and trusting positive relationships among students and adults. NEA also recognizes that other stakeholders
- 2 must also be fully engaged in that effort including local affiliates, local school boards, community organiza-
- 3 tions, and members as well as family members.
- 4

5 Guiding Principle 3: Professional Training and Development

6 NEA believes that educators must be better prepared to respond to the social and emotional needs of each

- 7 student. All school staff must understand what it means to be culturally competent and responsive. Educators
- 8 must be given the tools to develop the skills needed to interact with students from different racial, ethnic, and
- 9 economic backgrounds. NEA must encourage stakeholders to work together to develop and implement, with
- 10 fidelity, training that is proven, substantial, and ongoing, and professional development tools that are respon-
- sive to the needs of students and educators and that build and increase educators' cultural competence over
- 12 the course of their careers.
- 13
- 14 At a minimum, such training and professional development shall include: (1) developing communications
- 15 skills including strategies for peer-to-peer, educator-to-parent, educator-to-student, and student-to-educator
- 16 communication; (2) developing cultural competence including awareness of one's own implicit biases, under-
- 17 standing culturally competent pedagogy, and becoming culturally responsive in one's approach to education
- **18** and discipline; (3) training developed for, and delivered to, pre-service, early career, and experienced educa-
- **19** tors; and (4) an understanding of educational trauma and its impact on a student's education.
- 20

21 Guiding Principle 4: Partnerships and Community Engagement

- 22 NEA will use its existing partnerships with education partners, students, parents, community-based organiza-
- 23 tions, and social justice advocacy groups to: (A) raise awareness of the school-to-prison pipeline, (B) eliminate
- 24 disparate discipline policies and practices, (C) develop and implement the necessary professional develop-
- **25** ment and training for school staff, and (D) build respectful and supportive school environments. NEA will
- 26 also participate in, and build upon, existing coalitions by bringing together diverse groups of education and
- 27 social justice stakeholders for the purpose of identifying and sharing policies, practices, and activities to end
- 28 the school-to-prison pipeline. To that end, NEA will foster relationships with community-based nonprofits,
- 29 school districts, peer mentoring groups, mental health organizations, churches, professional associations,
- **30** alternative schools/juvenile correctional institutions, law enforcement, and national and state advocacy
- 31 groups.32

33 Guiding Principle 5: Student and Family Engagement

- 34 In order to change school cultures, the social and emotional needs of students must be strengthened and
- **35** supported through education, parental and community partnerships, and relationship building. Students,
- 36 parents, and other caregivers need to be engaged and trained in problem-solving techniques, conflict resolu-
- 37 tion skills, anger management, and other skills. Students need to be invested in their own success and under-
- **38** stand why taking responsibility for their conduct is important. As part of this effort, NEA encourages the
- **39** development and implementation of restorative practices to build healthy relationships and a community to
- **40** prevent and address conflict and wrongdoing.
- 41

42 IV. Advocacy and Action

- 43 NEA believes that one-size-fits-all discipline policies, such as zero-tolerance, harsh disciplinary approaches,
- 44 and toxic testing endanger educational opportunities and make dropout and incarceration more likely for
- 45 millions of students. NEA will lead efforts to end the school-to-prison pipeline by focusing its work in two
- **46** areas: Awareness and Advocacy.
- 47
- 48 Awareness. NEA believes that there must be increased awareness among its members and the public about
- 49 the school-to-prison pipeline and the ongoing, widespread disparate outcomes in discipline practices. NEA
- 50 should raise awareness of the benefits of professional development and training in cultural competency,
- 51 implicit bias, and restorative practices. NEA and its affiliates must continually examine and highlight data to
- 52 illustrate the problems with the school-to-prison pipeline and the impact on students of color. NEA encou-
- **53** rages schools and districts to provide educators with intensive training and professional development, along
- 54 with access to tools on classroom management and model discipline practices.
- 55

1	Advocacy. NEA has a responsibility to advocate for discipline policies and procedures, legislation, and
2	practices that will end the school-to-prison pipeline. Advocacy must include the continual identification of
3	model school districts that have enacted fair and effective discipline policies. As educators, NEA is in the best
4	position to develop model discipline policies that encourage the use of fair and effective discipline practices,
5	and discourage the use of school-based arrests and referrals to law enforcement, before educators attempt
6	corrective action. NEA must continuously advocate for the elimination of unjust policies and practices that
7	
	fuel the school-to-prison pipeline.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
42 43	
43 44	
44 45	
45 46	
47	
47 48	
48 49	
49 50	
50 51	
52	
52 53	
53 54	
54 55	
55	

H. Charter Schools

Adopted by the 2017 Representative Assembly, amended 2021

Introduction

8 Charter schools were initially promoted by educators who sought to innovate within the local public
9 school system to better meet the needs of their students. Over the last quarter of a century, charter schools
10 have grown dramatically to include large numbers of charters that are privately managed, largely unaccountable, and not transparent as to their operations or performance. The explosive growth of charters has been
12 driven, in part, by deliberate and well funded efforts to ensure that charters are exempt from the basic safe13 guards and standards that apply to public schools, which mirror efforts to privatize other public institutions
14 for profit.

15

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

16 Charters have grown the most in school districts that were already struggling to meet students' needs due
17 to longstanding, systemic, and ingrained patterns of institutional neglect, racial, and ethnic segregation,
18 inequitable school funding, and disparities in staff, programs, and services. The result has been the creation
19 of separate, largely unaccountable, privately managed charter school systems in those districts that undermine
20 support and funding of local public schools. Such separate and unequal education systems are disproportio21 nately located in, and harm, students and communities of color by depriving both of the high quality public
22 education system that should be their right.

23

24 As educators we believe that "public education is the cornerstone of our social, economic, and political 25 structure," NEA Resolution A-1, the very "foundation of good citizenship," and the fundamental prerequi-26 site to every child's future success. Brown v. Bd. of Ed. of Topeka, Shawnee Cty., Kan., 347 U.S. 483, 493 (1954). The growth of separate and unequal systems of charter schools that are not subject to the same basic 27 28 safeguards and standards that apply to public schools threatens our students and our public education system. The purpose of this policy statement is to make plain NEA's opposition to the failed experiment of largely 29 30 unaccountable privately managed charter schools while clarifying NEA's continued support for those public charter schools that are authorized and held accountable by local democratically elected school boards or 31 32 their equivalent.

33

I. NEA supports public charter schools that are authorized and held accountable by public school
districts. Charter schools serve students and the public interest when they are authorized and held accountable by the same democratically accountable local entity that authorizes other alternative school models in
a public school district such as magnet, community, educator-led, or other specialized schools. Such charters
should be authorized only if they meet the substantive standards set forth in (a) below, and are authorized
and held accountable through a democratically controlled procedure as detailed in (b) below.

41 a. Public charter schools should be authorized by a public school district only if the charter is both necessary to meet the needs of students in the district and will meet those needs in a manner that improves 42 43 the local public school system. Public charters, like all public schools, must provide students with a free, 44 accessible, non-sectarian, quality education that is delivered subject to the same basic safeguards and 45 standards as every other public school, namely, in compliance with: i) open meetings and public records 46 laws; ii) prohibitions against for-profit operation or profiteering as enforced by conflict of interest, financial disclosure and auditing requirements; and iii) the same civil rights, including federal and state laws 47 48 and protections for students with disabilities, employment, health, labor, safety, staff qualification, and 49 certification requirements as other public schools. When a charter is authorized in a public school district that has an existing collective bargaining agreement with its employees, the authorizer will ensure that 50 51 the employees will be covered by a collective bargaining agreement. Discrepancies between the existing 52 collective bargaining agreement and the newly authorized charter bargaining agreement need to be 53 reported to the members. Those basic safeguards and standards protect public education as a public good 54 that is not to be commodified for profit.

1 In addition, charter schools may be authorized or expanded only after a district has assessed the impact 2 of the proposed charter school on local public school resources, programs, and services, including the 3 district's operating and capital expenses, appropriate facility availability, the likelihood that the charter 4 will prompt cutbacks or closures in local public schools, and consideration of whether other improve-5 ments in either educational program or school management (ranging from reduced class sizes to commu-6 nity or magnet schools) would better serve the district's needs. The district must also consider the impact 7 of the charter on the racial, ethnic and socio-economic composition of schools and neighborhoods and 8 on equitable access to quality services for all district students, including students with special needs and 9 English language learners. The impact analysis must be independent, developed with community input, 10 and be written and publicly available. 11 12 b. Public charter schools should only be authorized by the same local, democratically accountable entity 13 that oversees all district schools such as a locally elected school board or, if there is no school board, a 14 community-based charter authorizer accountable to the local community. 15 16 Maintaining local democratic control over decisions as to whether to authorize charters at all, and if so, 17 under what conditions, safeguards community engagement in local public schools. A single local autho-18 rizing entity also ensures comprehensive consideration of whether each option, and the mix of options 19 offered in a district, meets the needs of students and the community as a whole given the resources and 20 facilities in the district. A single entity also permits effective integrated oversight of all schools, including 21 charter schools, and a central mechanism for identifying and sharing successful innovations throughout local public schools. 22 23 24 The overall goal of the authorization and review process must be to improve the education offered to all 25 students. That goal cannot be accomplished with a diffuse authorization system, comprised of multiple 26 different entities, with differing partial views of the students served by a district and the overall scope of 27 its educational offerings. 28 29 The local authorizer also must ensure that parents are provided with the same information about char-30 ters that is provided to parents about other district schools, as well as information about any significant 31 respects in which the charter departs from district norms in its operations including the actual charter of 32 the school. 33 34 The state's role in charter authorization and oversight should be limited to ensuring that local school 35 districts only authorize charters that meet the criteria in (a) above and do so by way of a procedure that 36 complies with (b). To that end, the state should both monitor the performance of districts as charter 37 authorizers and hold districts accountable for providing effective oversight and reporting regarding the 38 quality, finances, and performance of any charters authorized by the district. In addition, the state must 39 provide adequate resources and training to support high quality district charter authorization practices 40 and compliance work, and to share best authorization practices across a state. States should entertain 41 appeals from approvals or denials of charters only on the narrow grounds that the local process for 42 approving a charter was not properly followed or that the approval or denial of a charter was arbitrary or 43 illegal. 44 45 c. Unless both the basic safeguards and process detailed above are met, no charter school should be autho-46 rized and NEA will support state and local moratoriums on further charter authorizations in the school 47 district. 48 49 II. NEA opposes as a failed and damaging experiment unaccountable privately managed charters. 50 51 Charters that do not comply with the basic safeguards and standards detailed above and that are not aut-52 horized by the local school board (or its equivalent) necessarily undermine local public schools and harm the 53 public education system. 54 55

1 The theory that charter competition will improve public schools has been conclusively refuted. Charters

2 have a substantial track record that has been assessed in numerous research studies. Those studies document

3 that charters, on average, do no better than public schools in terms of student learning, growth, or develop-

4 ment. And those charters that do perform better are not incorporated into district-wide school improvement5 efforts.

5 ef

In fact, at their worst, charters inflict significant harms on both students and communities. Of the charter schools that opened in 2000, a full fifth had closed within five years of opening and a full third had closed by 2010. Because the very opening of charters often prompts cutbacks and/or closures in local public schools, these alarmingly high charter closure rates subject students and communities to cycles of damaging disrup-tion. Such disruption can leave students stranded mid-year. Even closures that occur at the year's end disrupt students' education and unmoors communities that previously had been anchored by the local public school. Charters that are not subject to the basic safeguards and standards detailed above also open up the local public schools to profiteers. Such charters operate without any effective oversight, draining public school

resources and thereby further harming local public schools and the students and communities they serve.

Finally, one particular form of unaccountable privately managed charters deserves specific discussion.
Fully virtual, online, or non-classroom based charter schools cannot, by their nature, provide students with a
well-rounded, complete educational experience, including optimal kinesthetic, physical, social, and emotional
development. Accordingly, they should not be authorized as charter schools.

23 III. Organizing Communities for Quality Public Education

NEA stands for our students wherever they are educated. Relegating students and communities to unaccountable privately managed schools that do not comply with the basic safeguards and standards detailed
above has created separate systems of charters that are inherently unequal. To counter the threat to public
education of such charters, NEA supports both communities organizing for quality public education and
educators working together to improve charter schools.

a. NEA supports communities that are working to hold charters accountable whether that work takes the form of state legislative initiatives, local school board resolutions and actions, or efforts to raise local awareness of the need for charters to comply with the basic safeguards and standards detailed above.
 NEA also will support state and local efforts to preserve public school funding and services by eliminating such funding and services from unaccountable privately managed charters that do not comply with those basic safeguards and standards.

b. NEA believes that all educators deserve the right to collective voice and representation, and that an organized workforce is a better guardian of quality standards for students and educators alike. For that reason, state affiliates that seek to organize charter schools may continue to seek NEA's assistance in those organizing efforts.

I. CommunitySchools

1 2 3

4

Adopted by the 2018 Representative Assembly

5 6 Introduction

7 8 Consistent with NEA's core values that "public education is the gateway to opportunity," and that "all 9 students have the human and civil right to a quality public education that develops their potential, independence, and character,"1 and recognizing that opportunity gaps in our society have resulted in an uneven and 10 11 unjust public education system where some communities have public schools that provide "individuals with 12 the skills and opportunities to be involved, informed, and engaged in our representative democracy"² and 13 some do not, NEA believes all schools should use research-backed school improvement strategies designed 14 to support a racially just education system that ensures that all students and their families have the support 15 needed to thrive and grow. The Community School Model (CSM) has a strong track record of closing oppor-16 tunity gaps, supporting a culturally relevant and responsive climate, and causing significant and sustained 17 school improvement. NEA supports the use of the Community Schools Model in public schools where the 18 local staff and community are supportive.

19

20 Definitions

21

Public Community Schools: Public community schools are both places and partnerships that bring
 together the school and community to provide a rigorous and engaging academic experience for students,
 enrichment activities to help students see positive futures, and services designed to remove barriers to learning. Students engage in real-world problem solving as part of their curriculum. Community schools involve
 and support families and residents in the public school community and organize the wealth of assets that all
 communities have to focus on our youth and strengthen families and communities. Public schools become
 centers of the community and are open to everyone.

29

30 <u>Community School Model:</u> Any public school can use the community school model, which is intended
 31 to be tailored to the specific needs of an individual school's students, staff, families, and community mem 32 bers. The community school model advanced by NEA is based on Six Pillars of Practice as implemented
 33 through four key mechanisms.

34

Stakeholder: Stakeholder refers to anyone who is invested in the welfare and success of a school and its
 students, including administrators, educators, students, parents, families, community members, local busi ness leaders, and elected officials such as school board members, city councilors, and state representatives.
 Stakeholders may also be collective entities, such as local businesses, local unions, organizations, advocacy
 groups, committees, media outlets, and cultural institutions, in addition to organizations that represent specific groups, such as associations, parent-teacher organizations, and associations representing superintendents,
 principals, school boards, or educators in specific academic disciplines.³

42

43 <u>Partners:</u> Partner refers to external organizations and individuals that form informal and formal relation44 ships with a school that is using the Community School Model to fill strategy needs. These organizations can
45 include locally-owned businesses, local unions, advocacy groups, educator associations, parent-teacher organizations, religious organizations, schools, institutions of higher learning, nonprofit organizations, and other

47

¹NEA Core value on Equal Opportunity. "We believe public education is the gateway to opportunity. All students have the human and civil rightto a quality public education that develops their potential, independence, and character."

50

² NEA Core value on Democracy – "We believe public education is the cornerstone of our republic. Public education provides

- 52 individuals with theskills to be involved, informed, and engaged in our representative democracy."
- 53

54 ³ Great Schools Partnerships. Glossary of Education Reform. Stakeholders.

types of organizations that local stakeholders determine fill a strategic need and that align with NEA values.
 The Six Pillars include:

3 Strong and Proven Culturally Relevant Curriculum: Educators provide a rich and varied academic program allowing students to acquire both foundational and advanced knowledge and skills in many 4 content areas. Students learn with challenging, culturally relevant materials that address their learning 5 needs and expand their experience. They also learn how to analyze and understand the unique experiences 6 7 and perspectives of others. The curriculum embraces all content areas including the arts, second languages, and physical education. Teachers and ESP are engaged in developing effective programs for language 8 instruction for English learners and immigrant students. Rigorous courses such as Advanced Placement 9 or International Baccalaureate are offered. Learning and enrichment activities are provided before and 10 after the regular school day, including sports, the arts, and homework assistance. The needs of parents and 11 families are addressed through programs like English-as-a-Second-Language classes, GED preparation, 12 and job training programs. These supports are based on identified needs. 13

14

High-quality Teaching and Learning: Consistent with NEA Resolutions, educators are fully licensed, 15 knowledgeable about their content, and skillful in their practice. Instructional time focuses on learning 16 and the use of authentic assessment rather than high-stakes testing. Individual student needs are identified 17 and learning opportunities are designed to address them. Higher-order thinking skills are at the core of 18 19 instruction so that all students acquire problem solving, critical thinking, and reasoning skills. Educators 20 work collaboratively to plan lessons, analyze student work, and adjust curriculum as required. Experienced educators work closely with novices as mentors, coaches, and "guides on the side," sharing their knowledge 21 and expertise. ESP members take part in professional learning experiences and are consulted and colla-22 23 borate when plans to improve instruction are developed. Together, educators identify the methods and 24 approaches that work and change those that do not meet student needs. 25

Inclusive Leadership: Leadership teams with educators, the community school coordinator, and other
 school staff share the responsibility of school operations with administrators. This leadership team ensures
 that the community school strategy remains central in the decision-making process.

Positive Behavior Practices (including restorative justice): Community school educators emphasize 30 positive relationships and interactions and model these through their own behavior. Negative behaviors 31 are acknowledged and addressed in ways that hold students accountable while showing them they are still 32 33 valued members of the school community. All members of the faculty and staff are responsible for ensuring a climate where all students can learn. Restorative behavior practices such as peer mediation, com-34 35 munity service, and post-conflict resolution help students learn from their mistakes and foster positive, 36 healthy school climates where respect and compassion are core principles. Zero-tolerance practices leading 37 to suspension and expulsion are avoided.

38 39 Family and Community Partnerships: Families, parents, caregivers, and community members are partners in creating dynamic, flexible community schools. Their engagement is not limited to a specific 40 project or program, but is on-going and extends beyond volunteerism to roles in decision making, gover-41 42 nance, and advocacy. Both ESP and teachers are part of developing family engagement strategies, and they are supported through professional learning opportunities. Their voices are critical to articulating and 43 44 achieving the school's overall mission and goals. When families and educators work together, students are 45 more engaged learners who earn higher grades and enroll in more challenging classes; student attendance 46 and grade and school completion rates improve.

47

48 Coordinated and Integrated Wraparound Supports (community support services): Community
 49 school educators recognize that students often come to school with challenges that impact their ability to
 50 learn, explore, and develop in the classroom. Because learning does not happen in isolation, community
 51 schools provide meals, health care, mental health counseling, and other services before, during, and after

52

^{53 &}lt;sup>4</sup>A whole child approach, which ensures that each student is healthy, safe, engaged, supported, and challenged, sets the standard for

⁵⁴ comprehensive, sustainable school improvement and provides for long-term student success.

- 1 school. Staff members support the identification of services that children need. These wraparound services
- are integrated into the fabric of the school that follows the Whole Child tenets. Connections to the com-
- 3 munity are critically important, so support services and referrals are available for families and other com-
- 4 munity members.
- 5 <u>Public Community School Implementation</u>: Implementation of the Community Schools Model requires that
- 6 dedicated staff and structures use proven implementation mechanisms and should ensure that decisions
- 7 made by collaborative bodies do not abrogate the contractual protections of any union member.

9 Community School Coordinator: Every community school should have a community school coordinator
10 that plays a leadership role at the school, is a member of the school leadership team, and is a full-time staff
11 member. The CSC has training and specialized skills that supports building and managing partnerships
12 in diverse communities, creating and coordinating an integrated network of services for students and their
13 families, and optimizing both internal and external resources. The CSC connects students and their families with services in the community.

16 Needs and Asset Assessment: The foundation for the community school model is a school-based needs
17 and asset assessment that assesses academic, social, and emotional needs and assets (including staff exper18 tise and community supports of the school and surrounding community). The needs and asset assessment,
19 facilitated by the CSC, is an inclusive process in which families, students, community members, partners,
20 teachers, ESP, administrators, and other school staff define their needs and assets. Problem-solving teams
21 are established based on the needs determined in the needs and asset assessment.

School Stakeholder Problem-solving Teams: Every community school should have teams of school staff
 and other stakeholders (families, parents) dedicated to solving problems that are identified in the needs and
 asset assessment. The solutions identified by the stakeholder problem-solving teams change the way things
 are done in and outside of school hours and, at times, involve partnerships with outside organizations and
 individuals.

Community School Stakeholder Committee: The community school stakeholder committee (CSSC)
 coordinates between school staff, partners (organizations, businesses, town and city service providers), and
 stakeholders to ensure goals are achieved and obstacles are surmounted. The CSSC, which includes families, community partners, school staff, students, and other stakeholders from the school's various constituencies, works in collaboration with the school leadership team and supports coordination across and among
 community schools within a school district.

The Role of the Association in Advancing the Community School Model

Awareness. NEA believes that there must be increased awareness among its members and the public about
the large body of evidence that demonstrates the efficacy of the Community School Model in supporting
racial justice in education and closing opportunity gaps to achieve measurable school improvement gains.
NEA encourages schools and districts to use the community school model.

43 Advocacy. NEA has a responsibility to advocate for community school policies and procedures, legislation,
44 and practices that will result in school improvement gains. As educators, NEA is in the best position to
45 advance the adoption of community school policies.



Great Public Schools for Every Student

This document has been printed by Organized Staff Union Labor at the National Education Association